



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,980	10/12/2001	Toshitada Kameda	08384.0001	8109
22852 7	7590 08/09/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			TOMASZEWSKI, MICHAEL	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413		3626	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/974,980	KAMEDA, TOSHITADA				
Examiner initiated interview daminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Tomaszewski	3626				
All Participants:	Status of Application: Non	n-Final				
(1) <u>Mike Tomaszewski</u> .	(3) <u>Erika Harmon Arner</u> .					
(2) <u>Joseph Thomas</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 25 July 2006	Time: 3:00 pm Eastern					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections pertaining to claims 1, 30, and 32.						
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 30, and 32.						
Prior art documents discussed: None.						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiners discussed potential allowable subject matter and proposed amendments to claims 1, 30, and 32.						
Part III.						
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
JOSEPH THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)						